## Annual Council



| Recommendations: | It is RECOMMENDED that: <br> (1) the Committees, Joint Committees and Working Party listed in Sections 1.2.1 to 1.2.3 of Report No: AGM/SE/16/001 continue to operate for 2016/2017 in accordance with their existing number of seats and terms of reference (ToR), as amended to include amendments to the ToR for the Mayoral Advisory Committee, as contained in Appendix 2; <br> (2) the formula for the allocation of seats to the political groups on those Committees which are required by law to be politically balanced, as set out in paragraph 1.1.1 of Report No: AGM/SE/16/001, be approved; <br> (3) the allocation of seats on the Committees which are required by law to be politically balanced, as indicated in Appendix 1 to Report No: AGM/SE/16/001, be approved; <br> (4) the allocation of seats on the West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee, as indicated in Section 1.2.2 of Report No: <br> AGM/SE/16/001, be approved. This Committee is not required to be politically balanced; <br> (5) whilst the Democratic Renewal Working Party is not required to be politically balanced, the allocation of seats is by custom and practice, undertaken on this basis. Therefore, the allocation of seats to this Working Party, as indicated in Section 1.2.3 of Report No: AGM/SE/16/001, be approved; and <br> (6) the interim Service Manager (Legal and Democratic Services) be given delegated authority to re-appoint or appoint as applicable, Members and substitute Members to those bodies set out in recommendations (3), (4) and (5) above on the basis of nominations from the relevant Group Leaders. |
| :---: | :---: |


| Key Decision: <br> (Check the appropriate box and delete all thos that do not apply.) |  | Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? <br> Yes, it is a Key Decision - $\square$ <br> No, it is not a Key Decision - $\boxtimes$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultation: Alternative option(s): |  |  | - None <br> - None, as the matters under consideration are required by the Constitution. |  |
|  |  | $: \quad \bullet$N <br> ar |  |  |
| Implications: |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details |  |  | Yes $\square \quad$ No $\boxtimes$ <br> - The review has been undertaken within existing resources. Any changes required as a result of the review will also be borne from existing budgets. |  |
| Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details |  |  | Yes $\square \quad$ No $\boxtimes$ <br> - As above. |  |
| Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Yes } \square \quad \text { No } \boxtimes \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details |  |  | Yes $\boxtimes \quad$ No <br> - The Local Government and Housing Act 1989 states that the authority has a duty to review the representation of different political groups at, or as soon as practicable, after the annual meeting. |  |
| Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Yes } \square \quad \text { No } \boxtimes \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Risk/opportunity assessment: |  |  | (potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives) |  |
| Risk area | Inhe risk contr | erent level of <br> (before <br> trols) | Controls | Residual risk (after controls) |
| Opportunities for joint working are missed | Mediu | ium | Consider the creation of joint committees/panels wherever possible. | Low |
| Duplication of effort between member bodies | Mediu | ium | Carry out an annual <br> review of <br> committees, working <br> parties, etc to <br> ensure that they are <br> all still relevant and <br> adding value and do <br> not cross over with <br> the activities or other bodies e.g. scrutiny committees or task and finish groups | Low |


| The number of <br> meetings and reviews <br> cannot be <br> accommodated with <br> available member and <br> officer time and <br> resources | High | Carry out an annual <br> review to disband <br> any groups no longer <br> required, and to <br> optimise frequency <br> of meetings. Keep <br> under constant <br> review. | Medium |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wards affected: | All Wards |  |  |
| Background papers: <br> (all background papers are to be <br> published on the website and a link <br> included) | None |  |  |
| Documents attached: | Appendix 1: Committees required to <br> be politically balanced and place <br> entitlement <br> Appendix 2: Committee, Joint <br> Committee and Working Party Terms <br> of Reference |  |  |

## 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

### 1.1 Political Composition

1.1.1 The political composition of the Council is as indicated in the following table:

| GROUP | No of members | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conservative | 35 | 77.78\% |
| United Kingdom Independence Party | 5 | 11.11\% |
| Charter (DN, RC, DH, JW) | 4 | 8.89\% |
| Independent (PH) Non-Group | 1 | 2.22\% |
| TOTAL | 45 | 100.00\% |

1.1.2 The Council will need to formally approve the formula for the allocation of seats to the political groups on those Committees which are required by law to be politically balanced.
1.1.3 The obligation to ensure that there is proportionality in the political composition of the Council's committees extends only to proportionate representation of members of political groups, and does not require nongrouped members to be proportionally represented. Seats therefore need to be allocated only to groups.
1.1.4 In carrying out any review the Council is obliged to adopt the following principles and to give effect to them 'so far as is reasonably practicable':
(a) that not all seats on the Council are allocated to the same political group;
(b) that the majority of the seats on the Council are allocated to a particular political group if the number of persons belonging to that group is a majority of the authority's membership;
(c) subject to paragraphs (a) and (b) above, that the number of seats on the ordinary committees of the Council which are allocated to each political group, have the same proportion to the total of all the seats on the ordinary committees of that authority as is borne by the number of members of that group to the membership of the authority, and;
(d) subject to paragraphs (a) to (c) above, that the number of the seats on the Council which are allocated to each group have the same proportion to the number of all the seats on that Council as is borne by the number of members of that group to the membership of the Council.

### 1.2 Entitlement to Places

1.2.1 The table at Appendix 1 and summarised below, shows those Committees that are required to be politically balanced and provides the exact entitlement to places of each group.
(a) Development Control (16 seats);
(b) Licensing and Regulatory (13 seats);
(c) Overview and Scrutiny ( 16 seats);
(d) Performance and Audit Scrutiny (10 seats);
(e) Joint Officer Appointments (3 seats);
(f) Joint Officer Appeals (3 seats);
(g) Mayoral Advisory (7 seats); and
(h) Treasury Management (3 seats).
1.2.2 West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee (3 seats) - Council approved on 26 February 2013 that arrangements for appointments to the West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee be made without compliance with the political balance requirements in Sections 15 and 16 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. The allocation of seats is to be one Conservative Group, one UK Independence Party Group and one Charter Group.
1.2.3 Democratic Renewal Working Party - The Democratic Renewal Working Party is not required to be politically balanced, but the allocation of seats is, by custom and practice, undertaken on this basis. The table below gives the exact entitlement to places and the allocated places.

| Committee | Democratic Renewal WP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of seats | 7 |  |
| GROUP |  |  |
| Conservative | 5.44 | 5 |
| United Kingdom Independence Party | 0.78 | 1 |
| Charter (DN, RC, DH, JW) | 0.62 | 1 |
| Independent (PH) Non-Group | 0.16 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 7 | 7 |

1.2.4 Non-grouped members - Although non-grouped members are not required to be proportionally represented, where a group is entitled to less than 0.5 of a place, group leaders may wish to consider whether to give a seat to a nongroup member.
1.2.5 The Council is asked to consider whether it wishes to continue operating the above Committees, Joint Committees and Working Party for 2016/2017 in
accordance with their existing number of seats and terms of reference, as contained in Appendix 2 attached.
1.2.6 Council is then asked to allocate seats and substitutes to political groups in accordance with the political balance rules and re-appoint the existing membership or appoint new membership as applicable, to those Committees and Working Party via delegated authority.

